

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,242	12/20/2001	Kurt Persson	003300-734	7165
7590 11/07/2003			EXAMINER	
Benton S. Duffett, Jr.			GREEN, BRIAN	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			3611	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/022,242	PERSSON, KURT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K. Green	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	<u> August 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 1 is now allowable over the prior art of record. Claim 1 is generic. Therefore, claims 5,12-14,17, and 18, which had been withdrawn since they were directed to a non-elected species, are now being considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7,9,12-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 11, there is no antecedent basis for "the portion, projecting from the sheet-like device". In claim 12, lines 3 and 5, there is no antecedent basis for "the same" and it is not clear what elements are being referred to by the phrase "the same". In claim 12, line 6, "the portion" is indefinite since it is not clear which portion the applicant is referring to, i.e. "the inner portion", "the outer portion", or the "portion" defined in claim 1. In claim 12, line 9, there is no antecedent basis for "the other portion" and it is not clear what element represents the other portion. In claim 13, line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the same" and it is not clear what elements are being referred to by the phrase "the same". In claim 13, line 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the surrounding member/members".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,242

Art Unit: 3611

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (U.S. Patent No. 5,398,170).

Lee shows in figures 1-3 a sign unit including a sheet-like device (110) and at least one light emitting member (106) formed out of fluorescent plastic material that extends from the front of the device past the rear of the device. Lee shows in figures 1 and 3 that the length of the member increases from the upper portion toward the lower portion, i.e. see fig. 3 at the lead line for numeral 304, the length increases from the upper portion (right hand side in fig. 3) "toward" the lower portion (left hand side in fig. 3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Aug. 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Lee is only seen to disclose structures that vary in thickness and discloses nothing concerning any variance in length between ends of a light-emitting member as defined in claim 8. Lee shows in figures 1 and 3 that the length of the member increases from the upper portion toward the lower portion, i.e. see fig. 3 at the lead line for numeral 304, the length increases from the upper portion (right hand side in fig. 3) "toward" the lower portion (left hand side in fig. 3). The length of the light emitting member (106) is measured from the front surface (near the lead line for numeral 306, fig. 3) to the rear surface (near the lead line for numeral 304, fig. 3). Lee clearly shows in figure 2 that the length of the light-emitting member increases from the upper portion (see fig. 1, the upper portion of the back end of the light-

Application/Control Number: 10/022,242

Art Unit: 3611

emitting member) "towards" the lower end (see fig. 1, the lower portion of the back end of the light-emitting member).

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-7,9,12-14, and 16-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/022,242

Art Unit: 3611

1.8

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian K. Green whose telephone number is (703) 308-1011. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

BRIAN K. GREEN

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER

bkg

Nov. 6, 2003